



Mary-Ann Warmerdam  
*Director*

## Department of Pesticide Regulation



Arnold Schwarzenegger  
*Governor*

### **Sacramento County Pesticide Regulatory Program 2007/2008 Performance Evaluation Report**

**California Environmental Protection Agency  
Department of Pesticide Regulation  
1001 I Street  
Sacramento, California 95814**

May 21, 2008

## **Performance Evaluation of the Sacramento County Agricultural Commissioner Pesticide Use Enforcement Program**

This report provides a performance evaluation of Sacramento County Agricultural Commissioner's (CAC's) pesticide use enforcement (PUE) program for the fiscal year 2007-2008. The assessment evaluates the performance of goals identified in the CAC's enforcement work plan as well as the program's adherence to Department of Pesticide Regulation (DPR) standards as described in the Pesticide Use Enforcement Standards Compendium.

### **I. Summary Report of Core Program Elements**

#### **A) Restricted Materials Permitting:**

The restricted materials permitting program element was found to meet DPR standards and work plan goals.

#### **B) Compliance Monitoring:**

The compliance monitoring program element was found to meet DPR standards and work plan goals.

#### **C) Enforcement Response:**

The enforcement response program element was found to meet DPR standards and work plan goals.

### **Summary Statement:**

Sacramento CAC's pesticide use enforcement program is currently effective.

### **II. Assessment of Core Program Effectiveness and Work Plan Goals**

#### **A) Restricted Materials Permitting:**

##### **1) Permit Issuance – Effective**

The Sacramento CAC's permit issuance procedures and performance were evaluated through observation and interviews of relevant staff and found to conform to DPR standards and expectations. The five biologists that issue permits all possess Pesticide Regulations and Investigation and Environmental Monitoring licenses.

The DPR evaluation determined that permits are:

- Issued only to qualified applicants;
- Signed by authorized persons;
- Issued for time periods allowed by law;
- Permit amendments follow approved procedures.

##### **2) Site Evaluation – Effective**

The Sacramento CAC's site evaluation procedures were evaluated through observation, record review, and interviews of relevant staff and found to conform to DPR standards and expectations. The CAC issued 426 agricultural permits and 80 nonagricultural permits during the subject year.

The permits:

- Contained the necessary information;
- Identified treatment areas and sensitive areas that could be adversely impacted by the permitted uses;
- Identified mitigation measures and included conditions that addressed known hazards.

The CAC's staff adequately evaluated permits and determined if the use of feasible alternatives was required. The program reviews all NOI's in a timely manner and adequately monitored agricultural and nonagricultural permits utilizing pre- application site evaluations and use monitoring inspections.

## **B) Compliance Monitoring:**

### **1) Inspections – Effective**

The Sacramento CAC's permit inspection procedures were evaluated through DPR oversight inspections and record review and found to conform to DPR standards and expectations. Five biologists that possess Pesticide Regulations and Investigation and Environmental Monitoring licenses perform inspections. Inspections are performed according to the inspection strategy documented in the CAC's enforcement work plan. **However, due to a high turn over rate in staffing, the Sacramento CAC will fall short of work plan inspection numbers for this year.** Inspections are performed according to DPR policies and procedures and inspections reports are complete and comprehensive. The inspections adequately provide the information necessary to successfully prosecute violations. Inspections performed by the CAC were found to:

- Adequately address label, law and regulatory requirements;
- Include interviews of employers and employees as appropriate;
- Adequately document violations;
- Include appropriate follow-up inspections and procedures.

### **2) Investigations – Effective**

The Sacramento CAC's investigation procedures and performance were evaluated through observation, record review, and interviews of relevant staff and found to conform to DPR standards and expectations. The CAC investigates all complaints and complete their reports in a timely manner. **However, the CAC illness investigations are significantly behind due to staffing issues. As of May 21, 2008, forty-three illness investigations are past the 120-day completion requirement.**

Investigations are thorough and complete and submitted on approved forms and in the approved format. The CAC refers and or notifies DPR and other agencies as required. The investigations document violations and the CAC collects evidence according to DPR standards. The investigations adequately provide the information necessary to successfully prosecute violations.

## **C) Enforcement Response: - Effective**

The Sacramento CAC's enforcement response was evaluated through observation, record review, and interviews of relevant staff and found to conform to DPR standards and expectations. The CAC's enforcement program was found to:

- Initiate the appropriate action when violations are identified;
- Sufficiently support compliance, enforcement and public protection actions;
- Ensure that due process requirements are met when taking an enforcement or permit action or when initiating a private applicator certification or registration refusal/ revocation.

### **III. Recommended Corrective Actions**

No corrective actions are currently needed.

### **IV. Non-Core and Desirable Activities**

- The Sacramento CAC's provides various training presentations throughout the year for companies, growers, and dealers as well as conduct presentations and training for county agricultural biologists for other counties. For instance, CAC personnel participate in the Pesticide Inspector Residential Training in which they return and train other inspectors in other counties.
- The county also provides consultations to new business in the county. In these consultations they essentially inspect the business to make sure they are in compliance with all pesticide laws and regulations. If a non-compliance is discovered the county informs them on how to correct the problem and then performs a follow-up meeting in 60 days to make sure the non-compliances were corrected.
- Sacramento CAC is currently participating in the Automated Inspection Report System (AIRS) program.
- Sacramento CAC will be upgrading to the AgGIS 3.0. The system is currently in the testing stage.